# **Individual Executive Member Decision**

| Title of Report:                       | <b>Records Management Policy Revision</b>  |  |
|--|--|--|
| Report to be considered by:            | Individual Executive Member Decision   |  |
| Date on which Decision is to be taken: | 9 <sup>th</sup> May 2013   |  |
| Forward Plan Ref:                      | ID2644   |  |
| Purpose of Report:                     | To present the revised Records Management Policy for consideration by the Executive Member for Council   |  |
|  | Strategy & Performance, Housing, ICT, Corporate<br>Support, Legal.   |  |
| Recommended Action:                    | That the Executive Member for Council Strategy & Performance, Housing, ICT, Corporate Support, Legal approves the revised Records Management Policy.   |  |
| Reason for decision to be taken:       | The Policy has been revised to take account of procedural<br>changes, and to be published as an individual document.<br>Previoulsy it was published as part of the Record<br>Retention Schedule, a supporting document |  |
| Other options considered:              | N/A  |  |
| Key background documentation:          | Record Retention Schedule  |  |

| Portfolio Member Details |   |  |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Name & Telephone No.:    | Name & Telephone No.: Councillor Roger Croft - Tel (01635) 868638 |  |
| E-mail Address:          | rcroft@westberks.gov.uk   |  |
| Contact Officer Details  |   |  |
| Name:                    | Sue Broughton   |  |
| Job Title:               | Information Management Officer                                    |  |
| Tel. No.:                | 01635 519747  |  |
| E-mail Address:          | scbroughton@westberks.gov.uk                                      |  |

\_\_\_\_

## Implications

| Policy:                          | There is a statutory requirement in the Freedom of<br>Information Act 2000 (S46) for a Records Management<br>Policy |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Financial:                       | None  |
| Personnel:                       | None  |
| Legal/Procurement:               | None  |
| Environmental:                   | None  |
| Property:                        | None  |
| Risk Management:                 | Adverse risk if the Council does not have a Corporate<br>Complaints Policy and Procedure                            |
| Equalities Impact<br>Assessment: | Attached  |

## **Consultation Responses**

#### Members:

| Leader of Council:  | Councillor Gordon Lundie                          |  |
|---|---|--|
| Overview & Scrutiny<br>Management<br>Commission Chairman: | Councillor Brian Bedwell                          |  |
| Ward Members:   | N/a   |  |
| Opposition<br>Spokesperson:                               | Councillor Tony Vickers                           |  |
| Local Stakeholders:                                       | N/a   |  |
| Officers Consulted:                                       | Andy Day; David Lowe; Heads of Service; Directors |  |
| Trade Union:  | Rosemary Culmer                                   |  |

| Is this item subject to call-in?   | Yes: 🔀 | No: |  |
|--|--------|-----|--|
| If not subject to call-in please put a cross in the appropriate box:   |        |     |  |
| The item is due to be referred to Council for final approval[Delays in implementation could have serious financial implications for the Council[Delays in implementation could compromise the Council's position[Considered or reviewed by Overview and Scrutiny Management Commission or[ |        |     |  |
| associated Task Groups within preceding six months<br>Item is Urgent Key Decision<br>Report is to note only  |        |     |  |

## **Supporting Information**

#### 1. Background

- 1.1 The Freedom of Information Act 2000 S46 included a provision requiring the Lord Chancellor to issue a Code of Practice as guidance to all relevant authorities on the keeping, management and destruction of their records.
- 1.2 The most recent version of the Code of Practice was issued in July 2009 and states:

#### Records Management Policy

Authorities should have in place a records management policy, either as a separate policy or as part of a wider information or knowledge management policy.

The policy should be endorsed by senior management, for example at board level, and should be readily available to staff at all levels.

The policy provides a mandate for the records and information management function and a framework for supporting standards, procedures and guidelines. The precise contents will depend on the particular needs and culture of the authority but it should as a minimum:

- a) Set out the authority's commitment to create, keep and manage records which document its principal activities;
- b) Outline the role of records management and its relationship to the authority's overall business strategy;
- c) Identify and make appropriate connections to related policies, such as those dealing with email, information security and data protection;
- d) Define roles and responsibilities, including the responsibility of individuals to document their work in the authority's records to the extent that, and in the way that, the authority has decided their work should be documented, and to use those records appropriately;
- e) Indicate how compliance with the policy and the supporting standards, procedures and guidelines will be monitored.

The policy should be kept up-to-date so that it reflects the current needs of the authority. One way of ensuring this is to review it at agreed intervals, for example every three or five years, and after major organisational or technological changes, in order to assess whether it needs amendment.

The authority should consider publishing the policy so that members of the public can see the basis on which it manages its records.

#### 2. Corporate Complaints Policy

2.1 The Policy sets out the stated requirements for records management as detailed in the Code of Practice. Its purpose is to assist staff in understanding their responsibilities in respect of corporate records management. It is also intended to

explain to the wider public the way in which records are protected and retained within the Council. It is a public document.

#### 3. Equalities Impact Assessment Outcomes

3.1 There is no adverse impact on any identified groups within the Council's staff. This is detailed in the attached Stage One review. There is no requirement for a Stage Two review.

#### 4. Conclusion

4.1 The Records Management Policy has been revised in accordance with best practice, and the S46 Code of Practice as revised in July 2009.

#### 5. Recommendations

5.1 That the Executive Member for Council Strategy & Performance, Housing, ICT, Corporate Support, Legal approves the revised Records Management Policy.

#### Appendices

Appendix A – Equality Impact Assessment – Stage 1 Appendix B – Records Management Policy (revised)

# **APPENDIX A**

# Equality Impact Assessment – Stage One

| Name of item being assessed:                      | Records Management Policy   |
|---|-----------------------------|
| Version and release date of item (if applicable): | Version 3 February 2013     |
| Owner of item being assessed:                     | Sue Broughton               |
| Name of assessor:                                 | Sue Broughton               |
| Date of assessment:                               | 12 <sup>th</sup> April 2013 |

#### 1. What are the main aims of the item?

To ensure compliance with statute and best practice in handling corporate records. This ensures corporate probity and accountability to residents, clients and other stakeholders.

| 2. Note which groups may be affected by the item, consider how the affected and what sources of information have been used to deter |   |
|---|---|
|   | <b>this.</b> (Please demonstrate consideration of all strands – age; disability; gender reassignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex; sexual orientation) |

| Group<br>Affected                                    | What might be the effect?  | Information to support this.              |
|--|--|---|
| All WBC staff<br>creating and<br>managing<br>records | It is considered that there will be no effect on any strands as identified | Policy previously in place in the council |
| Further comm   | ents relating to the item:   |   |

| 3.        | Result (please tick by double-clicking on relevant box and click on 'checked')       |
|-----------|--|
|           | High Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment          |
|           | Medium Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact<br>Assessment     |
|           | Low Relevance - This needs to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact Assessment           |
| $\square$ | No Relevance - This does not need to undergo a Stage 2 Equality Impact<br>Assessment |

**For items requiring a Stage 2** equality impact assessment, begin the planning of this now, referring to the equality impact assessment guidance and Stage 2 template.

| 4. Identify next steps as appropriate: |                        |
|--|------------------------|
| Stage Two required                     |                        |
| Owner of Stage Two assessment:         |                        |
| Timescale for Stage Two assessment:    |                        |
| Stage Two not required:                | Stage Two not required |

Name: Sue Broughton

Date: 12<sup>th</sup> April